

**MINUTE BOOK 24, PAGE 184  
CITY OF STATESVILLE COUNCIL MEETING – April 18, 2011  
IREDELL COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER – 7:00 P.M.  
STATESVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA**

**Mayor Costi Kutteh presiding:**

**Council Present:** Gregory, J. Johnson, Eisele, Huggins, Stallard, Matthews, Steele, M. Johnson

**Council Absent:** 0

**Staff Present:** Davis, Fugett, Hites, Cranford, Jason Wilson, Currier, Anderson, Bullins, Gibson, Hutchens, Gaines, Smyth, Miglin, Halliburton

**Media Present:** Jim McNally – Statesville Record & Landmark  
Dave Veiser – Charlotte Observer

**Visitors:** 40

**Call to Order**

**Invocation**

The invocation was given by the City Clerk.

**Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Girl Scout Troop #10638.

Mayor Kutteh explained during the second meeting of each month, citizens are invited to speak about matters not on the current agenda. Mayor Kutteh asked if there was anyone present who wished to address City Council.

Ross Moore, 721 Midway Road, Statesville, spoke passionately of the need for a veteran's homeless shelter in Statesville. Mr. Moore stated he had discussed with David Meachem of the Statesville Housing Authority, the possibility of utilizing a building that the SHA is in the process of purchasing, known previously as the Priority House, for this purpose. Mr. Moore explained the proposed shelter would be modeled after the Veteran's Restoration Quarters in Asheville, NC, which was founded by the Asheville Buncombe Community Christian Ministry organization. He hopes City Council and the community will volunteer, provide support, and welcome the shelter into the community. Mr. Moore stated the goal of the shelter is to assist veterans to become independent, productive members of society through assistance and education.

**Consent Agenda**

Mayor Kutteh stated all items on the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine by the City Council and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless a Council member so requests, in which event, the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered with the other items listed in the Regular Agenda.

Mayor Kutteh read the Consent Agenda as follows:

- A. **Approve the minutes of the Council Retreat Meeting of February 24-25, 2011, and the Continued Council meeting of March 14, 2011.**
- B. **Consider approving a resolution re-establishing the Downtown Municipal Service District.**

**Council member Steele made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda, Seconded by Council member Eisele. The motion carried unanimously.**

**Regular Agenda**

**Recognition of Statesville 101 graduates.**

Mayor Kutteh stated this is the third Statesville 101 class that has been held. He thanked all Department Heads that participated and Nancy Davis for coordinating and organizing the class. Mayor Kutteh and Rob Hites congratulated the graduates and presented each with a certificate and a small gift.

**Presentation of the American Public Power Association 2010 Safety Award of Excellence to the City of Statesville.**

Mayor Kutteh presented the award to Larry Cranford and Jason Wilson. He stated that Statesville was number one in their group, Group C, with a perfect rating and only one of eight municipalities in the state to receive this award. He congratulated Mr. Cranford, Mr. Wilson and the entire Electric Utility department and thanked them for their work.

**Conduct a public hearing and consider approval of the first reading of ZC11-01 filed by the City of Statesville for the five properties located at 294 and 302 Turnersburg Highway to rezone from B-1 to B-2.**

David Currier reported that Mr. Adam Pardue with Alliance Insurance is requesting on behalf of the property owner Mr. John N. Blackwelder, to rezone 5 parcels located at 302 and 294 Turnersburg Highway from B-1 to B-2 to allow an insurance agency, tax office and other permitted uses in the existing structures. Alliance Insurance and tax office moved into the building located at 294 Turnersburg Highway a couple of months ago and fixed up the building without realizing that the B-1 district does not allow an insurance agency, accounting office or any type of general office use. Therefore, when contacted by the Planning Department, Mr. Pardue filed a rezoning application for all 5 parcels. Mr. Currier stated he had previously discussed with Mr. Blackwelder assembling all of these small tracts together because this property will eventually be rezoned to B-4 Commercial.

The five tracts are zoned B-1 (Neighborhood Business) and contain a total of approximately .929 acres. The tracts are currently occupied by 5 buildings. The building that Alliance Insurance and tax office would like to use is located on the corner of Turnersburg Highway and Cowan Lane. The other four buildings to the north include Austin's Barber Shop and 3 vacant spaces. The surrounding zoning districts and land uses are as follows:

<b><u>NORTH OF THE SITE:</u></b>	O & I-2 (Office and Institutional Complex) District, Marathon Convenience Store and Gas, Iredell County Health Department and the Behavioral Health Office.
<b><u>EAST OF THE SITE:</u></b>	B-1 (Neighborhood Service) District, Single family homes and vacant lots.
<b><u>SOUTH OF THE SITE:</u></b>	R-5 (High Density Single-Family Residential) District, Two burned out houses, 3 single family homes and vacant lots
<b><u>WEST OF THE SITE:</u></b>	B-4 CU (Highway Business Conditional Use) District, North Pointe Shopping Center which contains Five Star Car Wash, Goodwill Industries, Lowes, Staples, etc. and R-10 (Urban Low Density Residential) District, Single family homes and vacant tracts

The 2005 Land Development Plan projects this area to be commercial. In addition, these structures and drive cuts are existing and B-2 is a good transition between O&I-2 and B-4. Staff's recommendation is favorable to rezone and the Planning Board unanimously recommended to Council that the rezoning be approved.

Mayor Kutteh referred to an inquiry made at the pre-agenda meeting as to whether or not this property is inside the City limits. Mr. Currier stated the parcels are not within the City. Regarding utilities, the parcels use the Iredell County water system, a septic tank system, and electric power is through Duke Power. In discussion with Mr. Blackwell, Mr. Currier reported that Mr. Blackwell is 60% owner of the property and Mr. Blackwell's sister owns 40%. Mr. Blackwell stated when the property is redeveloped in the future he will petition for annexation and to rezone the property to B-4.

Mayor Kutteh declared the public hearing open and asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition or in favor of this item. There were no speakers. Mayor Kutteh declared the public hearing closed.

**Council member J. Johnson made a motion to approve first reading of ZC11-01 filed by the City of Statesville to rezone the five properties located at 294 and 302 Turnersburg Highway from B-1 to B-2, seconded by Council member Eisele. The motion carried unanimously.**

**Conduct a public hearing and consider approval of first reading of TA11-03 filed by Mr. Von Patel to amend Section 6.07-Sign Regulations, Part "N" Off-Premise Directional Signs.**

Mr. Currier stated that Mr. Von Patel is requesting a text amendment to allow his hotel sign (Comfort Inn) to be located on an off-premise commercial directional sign. Currently the Unified Development Code under Section 6.07 Signs, N. Off-Premise Commercial Directional Sign excludes "Businesses eligible to participate in the NCDOT logo program shall not be eligible for an off-premises directional sign. Mr. Patel claims he had a previous sign (per a sign easement) located at the intersection of Greenland Drive and Salisbury Highway when the hotel was formerly known as Baymont. However, the new Unified Development Code requires signs to come into compliance with a name/sign change. In this case, a new off-premise sign would not be permitted. Mr. Patel is eligible to participate in the NCDOT logo program (with a fee) which would allow him to locate on a mainline sign along the interstate, a ramp sign and a trailblazer sign which could be located at Greenland Drive. Staff did not feel that it was an issue if Mr. Patel chose to participate in the NCDOT logo program and also install an off-premise directional sign. Staff and the Planning Board recommend striking the portion of the ordinance that states "Businesses eligible to participate in the NCDOT logo program shall not be eligible for an off-premises directional sign".

Mr. Currier explained if the text amendment is approved, Mr. Patel and/or other businesses along Greenland Drive would need to apply for a special permit to erect an off-premise commercial sign in accordance with Section 6.07 Signs, which must be approved by City Council.

Council member M. Johnson asked if the purpose of this public hearing was to approve first reading of the text amendment only, and does not include approval of a Special Use permit to Mr. Patel. Mr. Currier answered this was to approve first reading only.

Council member M. Johnson felt the statement "visible through travel on thoroughfares while maintaining the flow of traffic and vehicular safety" contained in section 1-Purpose of the ordinance is ambiguous and should be reviewed.

Council member M. Johnson questioned how the distance was measured regarding section 3d in the ordinance that states "The development must be within one mile of the intersection of the thoroughfare and the local street was measured. Mr. Currier clarified it was measured by the road and anything over one mile would be in violation of the ordinance.

Council member M. Johnson stated he wanted to verify that under the Special Use Permit issue, Council is not bound by precedent. Mr. Currier explained if a business meets the requirements of the 2005 ordinance they would have the right to petition Council for the permit, but in the quasi-judicial, special use situation, other factors may apply, and Council could deny the permit. Council member M. Johnson stated he had no problem with this sign, in this location, but was concerned with all the other possibilities that may exist elsewhere in the City and he does not want the City to be obligated to approve. Mr. Currier stated the three districts that allow this are the B-4, B-5 and the HI. These areas are typically higher end commercial areas, such as the Signal Hill Mall area. Council member M. Johnson stated that as long as this remains a Special Use permit and Council can deny it if they feel that it is incompatible with the neighborhood and the surrounding area he is willing to approve it, but if the City is obligated to approve a permit without reviewing the context of where it is, then he would not approve.

Council member M. Johnson pointed out that the way the ordinance is written in section 2c, not only must the off-premise sign be in a B-4, B-5 or HI zoning district but the development must be also.

Mayor Kutteh asked how Motel 6 and Best Western had been able to have slots on the Camper World sign if the rental agreements for these were supposed to be approved by City Council. Mr. Currier stated they probably went to Camper World and signed up. Staff was not aware that these two slots were occupied by illegal users. He explained when the sign is built the applicant presents architectural elevations, the sign space and the rental fee. The condition that the owner of the sign must have a set lease rate per sign space that has been approved by the City Council was included to ensure a competitor would not be restricted from using the sign or charged an exorbitant fee above the other non-competitor users.

Council member Eisele asked how staff is policing this. Mr. Currier stated that when the issue came up with Comfort Inn Suites staff reviewed this sign and discovered that the Best Western

and Motel 6 signs were in violation. However, since this was discovered after Mr. Patel had requested this text amendment staff was waiting for Council's decision on the matter. If this text amendment is not approved, the signs will be taken down.

Mayor Kutteh declared the public hearing open and asked if there was anyone who wished to speak in opposition of this item. There were no speakers. Mayor Kutteh asked if there was anyone who wished to speak in favor of this item.

Jim McJunkin, 602 N. Graham St., Charlotte, Comfort Inn General Manager, stated the Comfort Inn is eligible for the NCDOT Logo Program, and are on a waiting list due to the limited number of spaces available. He explained when travelers exit from the interstate they have no way of knowing how to get to the hotel.

There being no other speakers Mayor Kutteh declared the public hearing closed.

**Council member Huggins made a motion to approve first reading of TA11-03 filed by Mr. Von Patel to amend Section 6.07-Sign Regulations, Part "N" Off-Premise Directional Signs, seconded by Council member Matthews. The motion carried unanimously.**

**Request permission to pursue a grant through the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) which will address drug market intervention, and to allow Daniel Miglin to represent the City of Statesville in the management of the grant if awarded.**

Sergeant Dan Miglin stated the Statesville Police Department is eligible to apply for a Federal grant via the BJA Smart Policing Initiative. This is a two year grant, potentially worth a total of \$300,000, requires NO MATCH from the City of Statesville and would allow the City to enhance and extend the Police Department's drug market intervention effort, as established via the Statesville / Iredell Gang Initiative (SIGI) and recently awarded Statesville Drug Market Intervention (SDMI) grant (a one-year grant from the Governor's Crime Commission, a/k/a GCC). If awarded, the grant would go into effect October 1, 2011.

The grant solicitation requires the following: (1) The lead agency be a law enforcement agency with a history of successful evidence-based practices and major grant management involving multiple collaborating agencies; (2) a comprehensive drug-related crime assessment be conducted by a qualified research partner; (3) travel for four persons to three grant-related meetings, including one trip to Washington DC, over the course of the grant period (all of which would be included in the grant budget).

The Police Department's grant application will propose the following for Year 1: (A) to have the City of Statesville's Finance Department act as fiscal agent for the grant; (B) to fund 100% of a DMI investigator salary & benefits between July 1, 2012-September 30, 2013, upon conclusion of the GCC grant which runs July 1, 2011-June 30, 2012; (C) to fund all required travel expenses; (D) to fund \$40,000 in overtime per year plus benefits (grand total of @ \$98,992), to include assistance on drug operations from Mooresville & Troutman Police Departments, per MOU; and (E) to provide funding for a research partner for assessment and post-assessment processes. The City is under no obligation to sustain the grant created position at the conclusion of the grant, which is in 2013.

**Council member J. Johnson made a motion to approve the request to pursue a grant through the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) which will address drug market intervention, and to allow Daniel Miglin to represent the City of Statesville in the management of the grant if awarded, seconded by Council member Eisele. The motion carried unanimously.**

Council member Steele pointed out that to date Sergeant Miglin has written 52 grants, has been awarded 33, for a total of over \$2.125 million in funding, and has saved the City nearly \$2 million after matching funds have been deducted.

**Request permission to apply for the Field-Initiated Program Grant which will be used to fund 24 months of overtime and training to increase the Intelligence Directed Policing concept currently being implemented by the Statesville Police Department and approve Sgt. Joshua Gibson to represent the City as the Program Director.**

Sergeant Gibson stated the Statesville Police Department is seeking funding for overtime pay and training for 24 months, to increase the Intelligence Directed Policing concept already being implemented by the Statesville Police Department. Intelligence Directed Policing uses intelligence

gathered through crime mapping data and other police information to create and implement directives that have the potential for significantly contributing to the reduction of crime or a police related problem. This concept reduces repeat calls for service and deals with the root cause of problems that affect the quality of life in our communities. Directives from Intelligence Directed Policing are given to Police Intelligence Officers (PIO). PIO's then implement strategies and evaluate the results for the purpose of reducing crime or police related problems. PIO's also attach themselves to neighborhood watch and business watch groups as a liaison, creating enhanced communication and problem solving solutions for communities within the city of Statesville. Funding runs from October 1, 2011-September 30, 2013. Estimated costs associated with this grant are as follows:

<u>Year One</u>	
Overtime	\$44,660.43 (100%)
Training	\$13,178.00 (100%)
Total	\$57,838.43 (100%)

<u>Year Two</u>	
Overtime	\$46,884.18 (100%)
Training	\$10,328.00 (100%)
Total	\$57,212.18 (100%)

Total Funding \$115,050.61 (100%)

Training includes any registration, meals and lodging fees.

Council member Stallard asked if the City pays first then is reimbursed. Sergeant Gibson explained overtime would be paid by the City then staff would submit overtime hours, most likely monthly, for reimbursement.

**Council member Stallard made a motion to approve the request apply for the Field-Initiated Program Grant which will be used to fund 24 months of overtime and training to increase the Intelligence Directed Policing concept currently being implemented by the Statesville Police Department and approve Sgt. Joshua Gibson to represent the City as the Program Director, seconded by Council member J. Johnson. The motion carried unanimously.**

**Approve a change in the Owner-Provided line item list for furnishings and equipment for Fire Station 4 and utilize Project Contingency Funds if necessary.**

Chief Hutchens explained the original Owner Provided Items List of furnishings, tools and equipment for Fire Station 4 was presented to Council approximately 24 months ago. As bids have been obtained staff has found that the preliminary quotes for these items are slightly lower than current prices. For example, the bedroom furnishings have increased approximately \$2,000, fitness equipment \$1,400, and computer and software prices have increased. Two televisions were approved in the original budget, but only one will be purchased and the remaining funds used to purchase a projector for the classroom. The purpose of this action is to request approval to change the line item amounts for various individual items and utilize project contingency funds to compensate for the cost increases. The original amount of \$54,083 for furnishings and equipment approved by Council is not anticipated to increase by more than 15% percent or \$8,112. Approval of this request will not change the total project budget amount and overall the project is currently under budget and the expected completion date of May 11, 2011.

**Council member Steele made a motion to approve a change in the Owner-Provided Line Item List for furnishings and equipment for Fire Station 4 and utilize Project Contingency Funds if necessary, seconded by Council member J. Johnson. The motion carried unanimously.**

Mayor Kutteh advised one item would be added under Other Business regarding a request for information from the Statesville Record & Landmark that involves the attorney-client privilege.

**Receive a report on bills pending in the NC Legislature that impact Statesville.**

Rob Hites stated that for over forty years the general assembly has had a relationship with local governments that recognizes that local elected representatives are the ones best suited to establish community standards in developing their codes of ordinance. The general assembly has adopted a chapter in the code, 168, that empowers local officials to govern their jurisdictions. The general assembly has insured through statutes that local officials give ample

opportunity to its citizens to publicly hear and debate issues, such as zoning and budget hearings and the monthly public comment period in a formal setting such as the City Council meetings. The state has also empowered the judicial system to scrutinize the actual elected local officials. The body of law that we have been working under since the 1930's works so well that the political subdivisions of the state are among the most stable and physically sound sub-units of local government in the United States. The bills being discussed tonight somewhat alter this time honored practice between the local governments and the legislature.

Mr. Hites explained that the annexation law that was adopted in 1959 has provided for a very orderly growth of cities in the State whereas; in many other states there are thousands of small municipalities that drain the vitality from the larger municipality. There are several bills in the legislature that will strip municipalities of their ability to initiate annexations, including a simple moratorium on city initiated annexations. Twenty-two years ago Virginia adopted a short term moratorium on city initiated annexations and has never brought it back up in the legislature. The ability to annex provides a method for cities and counties to anticipate that cities will grow into the area and somewhat force developers to come to the city for water, sewer, curbs and gutters, streets and for more dense subdivision than what is typically seen in rural areas. It has been found that in states that do not have annexation authority, properties that are developed outside the city do not have water and sewer, do not install curb and gutter, and wells and septic systems are used. When that infrastructure fails, the county cannot bail them out because they do not provide utilities to these areas and these properties cannot be annexed by the cities due to no annexation authority. Mr. Hites stated he felt the annexation law protects the property owners long term.

Mr. Hites reported there is concern among municipalities regarding several attempts that have been made to de-annex areas in five cities that have been properly annexed under the statutes, and in some cases the courts have upheld that they were correctly annexed. When any local government correctly uses the general statute and then the general assembly reverses that action, it causes tremendous amount of uncertainty for the municipalities, as well as the bond markets which are used to fund water and sewer line extensions. He stated that having the long arm of the legislature pulling back legally instituted issues is of great concern to cities.

Another area of concern is the Property Owner's Protection Act which will permit any system to recover attorney fees in a successful action brought against the local government. While there are certain circumstances where governments, including the State, so clearly abuse their authority that attorney fees should be awarded to the plaintiff, the language of this bill creates an open season for people to challenge almost any ordinance they do not like. This places municipal law in the same category as personal injury cases.

The original intent of House Bill 8, Eminent Domain, was to limit a local government's (not the state) ability to condemn land for economic development. In and of itself that was not an issue because it cannot be done in North Carolina. However, the bill has been amended to remove statutory authority of public and private condemners to condemn property for a public benefit. If this was law five years ago Statesville would not have been able to extend the airport runway to 7,000 ft. which means the City would have lost Lowe's Aviation, Rubbermaid Aviation, three NASCAR Teams and two huge hangars constructed by Statesville Aviation. The City's tax base would be over \$200 million dollars less than it is today. The raw water line to the Catawba River would not have been constructed and the City would have had only a 2 million gallon per day water system, which would have effectively ended our ability to grow as a city. The bill eliminates a private monopoly, such as Duke Power from condemning property for public use. If this law was in effect decades ago neither Lake Norman nor Lookout Shoals would exist. Statesville, its sister cities and the 100 counties in North Carolina do not use their condemnation powers unwisely. The court system insures that people are justly compensated for their land when it is needed for public use. To end our ability to condemn land for public use would create disastrous consequences to the future growth of this State.

ETJ Restriction is another current concern. This would restrict cities from including their ETJ's in determining the environmental impact on watersheds. The bill would restrict the current ETJ to include only land meeting the definition of "urban purposes" as used in the annexation statutes. The purpose of ETJ's is to recognize that both commercial and residential developments constructed near cities make them more characteristic of urban than rural developments. Their density creates more runoff, traffic volume and pressure on the water table than do rural developments. Municipal "unified development codes" are better tools for handling dense development than rural zoning ordinances. The legislature created the "Extra Territorial Jurisdiction" in an effort to manage the long term impact of urban growth outside of cities. Urban development standards create more cost for owners and speculative developers so they

have opposed ETJ's over time. The issue for County Commissioners and NCDOT is the lack of curb and gutter, water and waste water service and urban setbacks which create additional costs for NCDOT. The short term savings that the original developers of property enjoy, create a long term problem for County Commissioners and public health departments when streets, wells and septic systems specified for rural areas fail under the pressure of urban densities. When the County requests the City to annex an area whose infrastructure has failed and property values dropped, it costs all the residents of the City to "bail out" the development by running water and sewer and rebuilding the streets. The City of Statesville has a great informal relationship with Iredell County's staff. When it is clear that an area is destined to become urban in the near future the departments jointly request each governing body to extend ETJ. Losing the ability to allow this to be a "local" decision of two elected bodies further erodes the principal that local elected officials govern their jurisdictions using the "community's standards". When they don't they are not re-elected.

Senate Bill 183, Billboards is an attempt to preempt local regulation of the billboard industry. This bill trumps local sign ordinances by enabling billboard owners to convert existing signs to digital formats by right. This eliminates municipal authority to impose tree preservation ordinances limiting the cutting of trees around billboards and expands the tree cut zone around billboards from 250 to 400 feet and is an example of certain legislative leaders permitting private business to preempt the wishes of local elected officials. The point of granting planning and zoning authority to local authorities, is to permit communities to set their own standards. This bill states the billboard industry may place a whole new technology along a community's roads and keep them clear of trees for 400 ft. regardless of the wishes of the community.

The Law Enforcement Officer Bill of Rights has been introduced several years in a row and changes the way that local governments discipline police officers and requires that they be allowed the right of representation. It does not state whether that means an attorney or a union representative. Statesville has provided very well for its law enforcement through the Civil Service Commission, which is charged with the review and discipline of police and fire. The proposed bill also does not see any need for the same protection for other local government employment groups. It is felt that this is a step toward the unionization of law enforcement in North Carolina.

Mr. Hites stated Chief Anderson would like to report his concerns with the proposed House budget and the number of cuts and changes to the area of law enforcement. Mr. Hites advised that at the Mayor's request, he has prepared a resolution if Council chooses to take action to oppose these bills.

Chief Anderson stated there are several items that if approved will negatively impact local law enforcement. One is the consolidation of a number of District Attorney's offices across the state, specifically in regards to Iredell County, 22A and 22B. Currently 22A, Iredell and Alexander counties, is served by Sarah Kirkland. 22B, Davidson and Davie counties are served by Harry Frank. Chief Anderson explained the consolidation of the District Attorney's offices would have a huge impact on Statesville. With District 22A's main office located in Iredell County there have been open lines of communication with the District Attorney's office and Chief Anderson is able to meet quickly with the DA when necessary. Previously the 22<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District included all of these counties and there was no easy flow of communication. The District Attorney for Davis County who is based in Lexington would take over the entire 22<sup>nd</sup> District effective December 31, 2012. Mayor Kutteh pointed out there were numerous other law enforcement related positions, such as probation positions, assistant district attorneys, and administration, slated for elimination in this budget proposal.

**Council member J. Johnson made a motion to approve a resolution in opposition of the Reform Annexation Law-HB 485 and SB627, Local De-annexation Bills, the Property Owner's Protection Act, Eminent Domain-HB8, ETJ Restrictions-HB797, Billboards-Preemption of Local Control, and the Law Enforcement Officer's Bill of Rights-HB602, seconded by Council member Stallard. The motion carried unanimously.**

**Consider waiving the attorney-client privilege in order for the City Attorney to comply with a request from the Statesville Record & Landmark for the statements he has submitted to the City of Statesville for payment this year.**

City Attorney Eddie Gaines reported that the Statesville Record & Landmark has requested copies of the statements he has submitted to the City for payment this year. He explained that these are itemized statements and technically, each item is subject to the attorney-client privilege. He asked that Council waive the attorney-client privilege on these items so his office

may comply with the paper's request. He reported that there were two items on the statements that referred to sensitive Personnel matters that Council probably would not want to waive the attorney-client privilege for and would be redacted prior to submission to the paper if wished.

Council member Steele made a motion to waive the attorney-client privilege in respect to Mr. Gaines bills, with the exception of Personnel matters, seconded by Council member J. Johnson. The motion carried unanimously.

Council member Steele made a motion to adjourn, Seconded by Council member Eisele. The motion carried unanimously.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk